

On P – reducible and $R3$ – Like – Generalized \mathcal{BR} – Recurrent Space

Fahmi Yaseen Abdo Qasem & Ala'a Abdalnasser Awad Abdallah

Dept. of Math., Faculty of Education-Aden, Univ. of Aden, Khormakssar, Aden,
Yemen

fahmi.yaseen@yahoo.com, ala733.ala00@Gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we introduced the generalized \mathcal{BR} – recurrent Finsler space, i.e. characterized by the following condition

$$\mathcal{B}_m R_{jkh}^i = \lambda_m R_{jkh}^i + \mu_m (\delta_j^i g_{kh} - \delta_k^i g_{jh}) \quad , \quad R_{jkh}^i \neq 0 \quad * \quad ,$$

Where \mathcal{B}_m is Berwald's covariant differential operator with respect to x^m , λ_m and μ_m are known as recurrence vectors .

The purpose of the present paper to develop the above space by study the properties of a P – reducible space and a $R3$ - like space, which their called a P – reducible generalized \mathcal{BR} – recurrent space and a $R3$ – like generalized \mathcal{BR} – recurrent space, respectively. Also to obtain different theorems for some tensors satisfy in above spaces. Various identities are established in our spaces.

Keywords: *a P – reducible generalized \mathcal{BR} – recurrent space and a $R3$ – like generalized \mathcal{BR} – recurrent space.*

1. Introduction

R. Verma [7] obtained the condition of a P – reducible R^h – recurrent space be a necessarily a Landsberg space.

* In Rund's book, R_{jkh}^i defined here, is defined by R_{hkj}^i . This difference must be noted.

M. Matsumoto [5] showed that the curvature tensor R_{ijkh} of a three dimensional Finsler space satisfies the condition

$$R_{ijkh} = g_{ik} L_{jh} + g_{jh} L_{ik} - k/h$$

and called it $R3$ – like Finsler space. Some properties of a $R3$ – like Finsler space were studied by H. Izumi and T.N. Srivastava [3] by introducing the idea of indicatorization. M. Yoshida [6] also discussed a $R3$ – like Finsler space and its special cases.

Let F_n be an n – dimensional Finsler space equipped with the metric function $F(x,y)$ satisfying the request conditions [2] .

The vector y_i is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad y_i = g_{ij}(x,y)y^j$$

The two sets of quantities g_{ij} and its associative g^{ij} , which are components of a metric tensor connected by

$$(1.2) \quad g_{ij}g^{ik} = \delta_j^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k , \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k . \end{cases}$$

In view of (1.1) and (1.2), we have

$$(1.3) \quad \text{a) } \delta_j^i g_{ir} = g_{jr} \quad , \quad \text{b) } \delta_j^i y^j = y^i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{c) } \delta_j^i y_i = y_j .$$

The tensor C_{ijk} is defined by

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_k g_{ij}$$

which is positively homogeneous of degree -1 in y^i and symmetric in all its indices and called *(h)hv-torsion tensor* [4] and its associative C_{jk}^i is positively homogeneous of degree -1 in y^i and symmetric in its lower indices and called *(v)hv-torsion tensor*. According to Euler's theorem on homogeneous functions, these tensors satisfy the following:

$$(1.4) \quad a) \quad C_{ijk}y^i = C_{kij}y^i = C_{jki}y^i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b) \quad C_{jk}^i y^j = 0 = C_{kj}^i y^j.$$

$$(1.5) \quad a) \quad g_{ij}C_{kh}^i = C_{kjh} \quad \text{and} \quad b) \quad C_{jr}^i \delta_k^r = C_{jk}^i.$$

Berwald covariant derivative $\mathcal{B}_k T_j^i$ of an arbitrary tensor field T_j^i with respect to x^k is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_k T_j^i := \partial_k T_j^i - (\partial_r T_j^i) G_k^r + T_j^r G_{rk}^i - T_r^i G_{jk}^r.$$

Berwald covariant derivative of the vector y^i vanish identically, i.e.

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{B}_k y^i = 0$$

In general, Berwald covariant derivative of the metric tensor g_{ij} doesn't vanish and given by

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{B}_k g_{ij} = -2C_{ijk|h} y^h = -2y^h \mathcal{B}_h C_{ijk}.$$

The tensor R_{jkh}^i is called *Cartan's third curvature tensor* is defined by

$$R_{jkh}^i = \partial_h \Gamma_{jk}^{*i} + (\partial_l \Gamma_{jk}^{*i}) G_h^l + C_{jm}^i (\partial_k G_h^m - G_{kl}^m G_h^l) + \Gamma_{mk}^{*i} \Gamma_{jh}^{*m} - k/h^*.$$

Ricci tensor R_{jk} of the curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i is given by

$$(1.8) \quad R_{jki}^i = R_{jk}.$$

The associative curvature tensor R_{pjkh} satisfies

$$(1.9) \quad R_{pjkh} = g_{pi} R_{jkh}^i.$$

The tensor K_{jkh}^i is called *Cartan's fourth curvature tensor* defined as follows:

$$K_{jkh}^i := \partial_h \Gamma_{kj}^{*i} + (\partial_l \Gamma_{jh}^{*i}) G_k^l + \Gamma_{mh}^{*i} \Gamma_{kj}^{*m} - h/k.$$

This curvature tensor K_{jkh}^i is positively homogeneous of degree zero in y^i and skew-symmetric in its last two lower indices.

Ricci tensor K_{jk} of the curvature tensor K_{jkh}^i is given by

$$(1.10) \quad K_{jki}^i = K_{jk}.$$

* - h/k means the subtraction from the former term by interchanging the indices h and k .

The associative curvature tensor K_{pjkh} satisfies

$$(1.11) \quad K_{pjkh} = g_{pi} K_{jkh}^i.$$

We know that [2]

$$(1.12) \quad R_{jkh}^i = K_{jkh}^i + C_{js}^i H_{kh}^s$$

and

$$(1.13) \quad R_{ijkh} = K_{ijkh} + C_{ijs} K_{kh}^s.$$

The curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i and the h(v)- torsion tensor H_{kh}^i are connected by

$$(1.14) \quad R_{jkh}^i y^j = H_{kh}^i = K_{jkh}^i y^j.$$

The hv- curvature tensor P_{jkh}^i is positively homogeneous of degree zero in y^i and satisfies the relation

$$(1.15) \quad P_{jkh}^i y^j = \Gamma_{hjk}^{*i} y^j = P_{kh}^i = C_{kh|r}^i y^r.$$

The curvature vector P_k is given by

$$(1.16) \quad P_{ki}^i = P_k.$$

2. A Generalized BR – Recurrent Space

Let us consider a Finsler space F_n for which Cartan's third curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i satisfies the generalized recurrence property with respect to Berwald's connection parameter G_{kh}^i , i.e. characterized by the following condition

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{B}_m R_{jkh}^i = \lambda_m R_{jkh}^i + \mu_m (\delta_j^i g_{kh} - \delta_k^i g_{jh}) \quad , \quad R_{jkh}^i \neq 0 \quad ,$$

where \mathcal{B}_m is Berwald's covariant differential operator with respect to x^m , λ_m and μ_m are called *recurrence vectors*.

Definition 2.1. A Finsler space F_n for which Cartan's third curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i satisfies the condition (2.1), where λ_m and μ_m are non-zero covariant vectors field. Such space and the tensor which satisfy the condition (2.1) will be called a *generalized BR – recurrent space* and a *generalized B – recurrent tensor*, respectively and denoted them briefly by $G(BR) – RF_n$ and $GB – R$, respectively.

Transvecting the condition

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{B}_m P_{jkh}^i = \lambda_m P_{jkh}^i + \mu_m (\delta_j^i g_{kh} - \delta_k^i g_{jh}) \quad , \quad \{ (2.17), [1] \}$$

by y^j using (1.15), (1.6), (1.3b) and (1.1), we get

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{B}_m P_{kh}^i = \lambda_m P_{kh}^i + \mu_m (y^i g_{kh} - \delta_k^i y_h) \quad .$$

Contracting the indices i and h in the condition (2.3), using (1.16), (1.1) and (1.3c), we get

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{B}_m P_k = \lambda_m P_k \quad .$$

Transvecting the condition (2.1) by y^j , using (1.14), (1.6), (1.3b) and (1.1), we get

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{B}_m H_{kh}^i = \lambda_m H_{kh}^i + \mu_m (y^i g_{kh} - \delta_k^i y_h) \quad .$$

The curvature tensors R_{jkh}^i and K_{jkh}^i are related by the formula (1.12) [2].

Taking the covariant derivative for (1.12) with respect to x^m in the sense of Berwald, we get

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{B}_m R_{jkh}^i = \mathcal{B}_m K_{jkh}^i + (\mathcal{B}_m C_{jr}^i) H_{kh}^r + C_{jr}^i (\mathcal{B}_m H_{kh}^r) \quad .$$

Using the conditions (2.1) and (2.5) in (2.6), we get

$$(2.7) \quad \lambda_m R_{jkh}^i + \mu_m (\delta_j^i g_{kh} - \delta_k^i g_{jh}) = \mathcal{B}_m K_{jkh}^i + (\mathcal{B}_m C_{jr}^i) H_{kh}^r + C_{jr}^i [\lambda_m H_{kh}^r + \mu_m (y^r g_{kh} - \delta_k^r y_h)] \quad .$$

Using (1.12), (1.4b) and (1.5b) in (2.7), we get

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{B}_m K_{jkh}^i = \lambda_m K_{jkh}^i + \mu_m (\delta_j^i g_{kh} - \delta_k^i g_{jh}) - (\mathcal{B}_m C_{jr}^i) H_{kh}^r + \mu_m C_{jk}^i y_h \quad .$$

This shows that

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{B}_m K_{jkh}^i = \lambda_m K_{jkh}^i + \mu_m (\delta_j^i g_{kh} - \delta_k^i g_{jh})$$

if and only if

$$(2.10) \quad (\mathcal{B}_m C_{jr}^i) H_{kh}^r - \mu_m C_{jk}^i y_h = 0 \quad .$$

3. P – Reducible – Generalized BR – Recurrent Space

A P – reducible space is characterized by the condition [(3.1)

$$P_{jkh} = \frac{1}{n-1} (P_j h_{kh} + P_k h_{hj} + P_h h_{jk}) \quad ,$$

Where $P_{jkh} = C_{jkh|m} y^m$, $P_{ik}^i = P_k$ and $h_{ij} := g_{ij} - l_i l_j$.

Definition 3.1. The generalized BR – recurrent space which is a P – reducible space [satisfies the condition (3.1)], will be called a P – reducible generalized BR – recurrent space and will denote it briefly by P – reducible – $G(BR) – RF_n$.

Let us consider a P – reducible – $G(BR) – RF_n$.

By using (1.9) and (1.5a) in (1.13), we get

$$(3.2) \quad K_{ijkh} = g_{rj}R_{ikh}^r - g_{rj}C_{is}^rH_{kh}^s.$$

Taking the covariant derivative for (3.2) with respect to x^m in the sense of Berwald, we get

$$\mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = \mathcal{B}_m(g_{rj}R_{ikh}^r) - \mathcal{B}_m(g_{rj}C_{is}^rH_{kh}^s)$$

or

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = R_{ikh}^r \mathcal{B}_m g_{rj} + g_{rj} \mathcal{B}_m R_{ikh}^r - C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s \mathcal{B}_m g_{rj} - g_{rj} \mathcal{B}_m C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s.$$

Using (1.7) and the condition (2.1) in (3.3), we get

$$\mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = R_{ikh}^r (-2C_{rjm|h}y^h) + g_{rj} [\lambda_m R_{ikh}^r + \mu_m (\delta_i^r g_{kh} - \delta_k^r g_{ih})] - C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s (-2C_{rjm|h}y^h) - g_{rj} \mathcal{B}_m C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s.$$

or

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = (R_{ikh}^r - C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s) (-2C_{rjm|h}y^h) + \lambda_m g_{rj} R_{ikh}^r + \mu_m g_{rj} (\delta_i^r g_{kh} - \delta_k^r g_{ih}) - g_{rj} \mathcal{B}_m C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s.$$

Using (1.12) and the condition (3.1) in (3.4), we get

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = K_{ikh}^r \left[\frac{-2}{n-1} (P_r h_{jm} + P_j h_{mr} + P_m h_{rj}) \right] + \lambda_m g_{rj} (K_{ikh}^r + C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s) + \mu_m g_{rj} (\delta_i^r g_{kh} - \delta_k^r g_{ih}) - g_{rj} \mathcal{B}_m C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s.$$

This shows that

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{B}_m (C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s) = \lambda_m (C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s) + \mu_m (\delta_i^r g_{kh} - \delta_k^r g_{ih})$$

if and only if

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = K_{ikh}^r \left[\lambda_m g_{rj} - \frac{2}{n-1} (P_r h_{jm} + P_j h_{mr} + P_m h_{rj}) \right],$$

since $g_{rj} \neq 0$.

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.1. In P -reducible $-G(BR) - RF_n$, the tensor $(C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s)$ is a generalized recurrent if and only if (3.7) holds good.

By using (1.11), (1.5a), (1.3a) and (1.7) in (3.5), we get

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = \frac{-2}{n-1} K_{ikh}^r (P_r h_{jm} + P_j h_{mr} + P_m h_{rj}) + \lambda_m (K_{ijkh} + C_{ijs} H_{kh}^s) + \mu_m (g_{ij} g_{kh} - g_{kj} g_{ih}) - \mathcal{B}_m C_{ijs} H_{kh}^s - 2(C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s) C_{rjm|h} y^h,$$

where $P_{rjm} = C_{rjm|h} y^h$.

Using (3.1) in (3.8), we get

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = \frac{-2}{n-1} (K_{ikh}^r + C_{is}^r H_{kh}^s) (P_r h_{jm} + P_j h_{mr} + P_m h_{rj}) + \lambda_m (K_{ijkh} + C_{ijs} H_{kh}^s) + \mu_m (g_{ij} g_{kh} - g_{kj} g_{ih}) - \mathcal{B}_m C_{ijs} H_{kh}^s.$$

Using (1.12) in (3.9), we get

$$\mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = \frac{-2}{n-1} R_{ikh}^r (P_r h_{jm} + P_j h_{mr} + P_m h_{rj}) + \lambda_m (K_{ijkh} + C_{ijs} H_{kh}^s) + \mu_m (g_{ij} g_{kh} - g_{kj} g_{ih}) - \mathcal{B}_m C_{ijs} H_{kh}^s.$$

This shows that

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{B}_m (C_{ijs} H_{kh}^s) = \lambda_m (C_{ijs} H_{kh}^s) + \mu_m (g_{ij} g_{kh} - g_{kj} g_{ih})$$

if and only if

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = \lambda_m K_{ijkh} - \frac{2}{n-1} R_{ikh}^r (P_r h_{jm} + P_j h_{mr} + P_m h_{rj}).$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.2. In P -reducible $-G(BR) - RF_n$, the tensor $(C_{ijs} H_{kh}^s)$ is a generalized recurrent if and only if (3.11) holds good.

By using (1.11), the equation (3.7) becomes

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = \lambda_m K_{ijkh} - \frac{2}{n-1} K_{ikh}^r (P_r h_{jm} + P_j h_{mr} + P_m h_{rj})$$

This shows that

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = \lambda_m K_{ijkh}$$

if and only if

$$(3.14) \quad K_{ikh}^r (P_r h_{jm} + P_j h_{mr} + P_m h_{rj}) = 0 .$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.3. *In $P - reducible - G(\mathcal{BR}) - RF_n$, we have the identity (3.14) if and only if the associative curvature tensor K_{ijkh} is recurrent .*

Taking the covariant derivative for (3.1) with respect to x^m in the sense of Berwald, we get

$$(3.15) \quad \mathcal{B}_m P_{jkh} = \frac{1}{n-1} (h_{kh} \mathcal{B}_m P_j + h_{hj} \mathcal{B}_m P_k + h_{jk} \mathcal{B}_m P_h + P_j \mathcal{B}_m h_{kh} + P_k \mathcal{B}_m h_{hj} + P_h \mathcal{B}_m h_{jk}) .$$

Using (2.4) in (3.15), we get

$$(3.16) \quad \mathcal{B}_m P_{jkh} = \frac{\lambda_m}{n-1} (P_j h_{kh} + P_k h_{hj} + P_h h_{jk}) + \frac{1}{n-1} (P_j \mathcal{B}_m h_{kh} + P_k \mathcal{B}_m h_{hj} + P_h \mathcal{B}_m h_{jk}) .$$

Using (3.1) in (3.16), we get

$$\mathcal{B}_m P_{jkh} = \lambda_m P_{jkh} + \frac{1}{n-1} (P_j \mathcal{B}_m h_{kh} + P_k \mathcal{B}_m h_{hj} + P_h \mathcal{B}_m h_{jk}) .$$

This shows that

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{B}_m P_{jkh} = \lambda_m P_{jkh}$$

if and only if

$$(3.18) \quad P_j \mathcal{B}_m h_{kh} + P_k \mathcal{B}_m h_{hj} + P_h \mathcal{B}_m h_{jk} = 0 .$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.4. *In $P - reducible - G(\mathcal{BR}) - RF_n$, we have the identity (3.18) if and only if the associative torsion tensor P_{jkh} is recurrent .*

Using the condition (3.1) in (3.11), we get

$$\mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = \lambda_m K_{ijkh} - 2R_{ikh}^r P_{rjm} .$$

This shows that

$$(3.19) \quad \mathcal{B}_m K_{ijkh} = \lambda_m K_{ijkh}$$

if and only if

$$(3.20) \quad -2 P_{rjm} = 0 .$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.5. *The $P - reducible - G(\mathcal{BR}) - RF_n$ is Landsberg space if and only if the associative curvature tensor K_{ijkh} is recurrent .*

4. R3 – Like – Generalized \mathcal{BR} – Recurrent Space

The curvature tensor R_{ijhk} of a three dimensional Finsler space of the form [5]

$$(4.1) \quad R_{ijkh} = g_{ik} L_{jh} + g_{jh} L_{ik} - k/h ,$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad L_{ik} = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(R_{ik} - \frac{r}{2} g_{ik} \right) ,$$

$$R_{jk} = R_{jki}^l$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad r = \frac{1}{n-1} R_i^i .$$

Definition 4.1. The generalized BR – recurrent space which is a $R3$ – Like space [satisfies the condition (4.1)], will be called a $R3$ – Like generalized BR – recurrent space and will denote it briefly by $R3$ – Like – $G(BR) – RF_n$.

M. Matsumoto [5] introduced a Finsler space F_n ($n > 3$) for the associative of Cartan's second curvature tensor R_{ijkh} satisfies the above condition and called it $R3$ - like Finsler space .

Let us consider a $R3$ – Like – $G(BR) – RF_n$.

Using (1.9) in the condition (4.1), we get

$$(4.4) \quad g_{rj}R_{ikh}^r = g_{ik}L_{jh} + g_{jh}L_{ik} - k/h .$$

Taking the covariant derivative for (4.4) with respect to x^m in the sense of Berwald and using the condition (3.1), we get

$$(4.5) \quad g_{rj}B_mR_{ikh}^r + R_{ikh}^rB_mg_{rj} = B_mR_{ijkh} .$$

Using (1.12) in (4.5), we get

$$(4.6) \quad g_{rj}[B_mK_{ikh}^r + B_m(C_{is}^rH_{kh}^s)] + R_{ikh}^rB_mg_{rj} = B_mR_{ijkh} .$$

Using (2.9) in (4.6), we get

$$(4.7) \quad g_{rj}[\lambda_mK_{ikh}^r + \mu_m(\delta_i^r g_{kh} - \delta_k^r g_{ih})] + g_{rj}B_m(C_{is}^rH_{kh}^s) + R_{ikh}^rB_mg_{rj} = B_mR_{ijkh} .$$

Using (1.12) and (1.9) in (4.7), we get

$$(4.8) \quad [\lambda_mg_{rj}R_{ikh}^r - \lambda_mg_{rj}C_{is}^rH_{kh}^s + \mu_mg_{rj}(\delta_i^r g_{kh} - \delta_k^r g_{ih})] + g_{rj}B_mC_{is}^rH_{kh}^s + R_{ikh}^rB_mg_{rj} = g_{rj}B_mR_{ikh}^r + R_{ikh}^rB_mg_{rj} .$$

This shows that

$$(4.9) \quad B_m(C_{is}^rH_{kh}^s) = \lambda_m(C_{is}^rH_{kh}^s)$$

if and only if

$$(4.10) \quad B_mR_{ikh}^r = \lambda_mR_{ikh}^r + \mu_m(\delta_i^r g_{kh} - \delta_k^r g_{ih}) .$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 4.1. In $R3$ – Like – $G(BR) – RF_n$, the tensor $(C_{is}^rH_{kh}^s)$ behaves as recurrent if and only if the curvature tensor R_{ikh}^r is generalized recurrent [provided (2.10) holds] .

Using (1.11) in (1.13), we get

$$(4.11) \quad R_{ijkh} = g_{rj}K_{ikh}^r + C_{ijs}H_{kh}^s .$$

Taking the covariant derivative for (4.11) with respect to x^m in the sense of Berwald, we get

$$(4.12) \quad B_mR_{ijkh} = g_{rj}B_mK_{ikh}^r + K_{ikh}^rB_mg_{rj} + B_mC_{ijs}H_{kh}^s .$$

Using (2.9) in (4.12), we get

$$(4.13) \quad B_mR_{ijkh} = g_{rj}[\lambda_mK_{ikh}^r + \mu_m(\delta_i^r g_{kh} - \delta_k^r g_{ih})] + K_{ikh}^rB_mg_{rj} + B_mC_{ijs}H_{kh}^s .$$

Using (1.12) and (4.1) in (4.13), we get

$$(4.14) \quad B_m(g_{ik}L_{jh} + g_{jh}L_{ik} - k/h) = \lambda_mg_{rj}R_{ikh}^r - \lambda_mg_{rj}C_{is}^rH_{kh}^s + \mu_mg_{rj}(\delta_i^r g_{kh} - \delta_k^r g_{ih}) + K_{ikh}^rB_mg_{rj} + B_mC_{ijs}H_{kh}^s .$$

Using (1.9), (4.1) and (1.3a) in (4.14), we get

$$(4.15) \quad B_m(g_{ik}L_{jh} + g_{jh}L_{ik} - k/h) = \lambda_m(g_{ik}L_{jh} + g_{jh}L_{ik} - k/h) - \lambda_mg_{rj}C_{is}^rH_{kh}^s + \mu_m(g_{ij}g_{kh} - g_{kj}g_{ih}) + K_{ikh}^rB_mg_{rj} + B_m(C_{ijs}H_{kh}^s) .$$

This shows that

$$(4.16) \quad B_m(g_{ik}L_{jh} + g_{jh}L_{ik} - k/h) = \lambda_m(g_{ik}L_{jh} + g_{jh}L_{ik} - k/h) + \mu_m(g_{ij}g_{kh} - g_{kj}g_{ih})$$

if and only if

$$(4.17) \quad K_{ikh}^r \mathcal{B}_m g_{rj} + \mathcal{B}_m (C_{ijs} H_{kh}^s) = \lambda_m g_{rj} C_{ijs} H_{kh}^s.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 4.2. *In 3 – Like – $G(BR) – RF_n$, the tensor $(g_{ik} L_{jh} + g_{jh} L_{ik} – k/h)$ is a generalized recurrent if and only if (4.17) holds good [provided (2.10) holds].*

REFERENCES

- F.Y.A. Qasem** and **A.A.A. Abdallah** : On certain generalized BR –recurrent Finsler space, International Journal of Applied Science and Mathematics, Volume 3, Issue 3, (2016), 111-114.
- H. Rund** : *The differential geometry of Finsler spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Göttingen, (1959); 2nd Edit. (in Russian), Nauka, (Moscow), (1981).
- H. Izumi** and **T.N. Srivastava** : On $R3$ –like Finsler space, Tensor N.S., 32, (1978), 339-349.
- M. Matsumoto** : On C - reducible Finsler space, Tensor N.S., 24, (1972), 29-37.
- M. Matsumoto** : A theory of three dimensional Finsler space in terms of scalars, Demonstr. Math., 6, (1973), 223-251.
- M. Yoshida** : On an $R3$ –like Finsler space and its special cases, Tensor N.S., 34 (1980), 157-166.
- R. Verma** : *Some transformations in Finsler space*, D. Phil. Thesis, University of Allahabad, (Allahabad) (India), (1991).